UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,397	07/01/2005	Hiroshi Yamazaki	10992.0943	6309	
22852 7590 07/27/2009 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER		
			HURLEY, SHAUN R		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3765		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/541,397	YAMAZAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

Sha	aun R. Hurley	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (v for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	same day as filing a Notice of A es: (1) an amendment, affidavit, with appeal fee) in compliance w	oppeal. To avoid aban , or other evidence, w vith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of th</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the</li> </ul>	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth ir han SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	hich the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 on and the corresponding amount o ened statutory period for reply origin	66(a) and the appropriate f the fee. The appropria ally set in the final Office	e extension fee te extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 24 June 2009. A brief in codate of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissa	al of the appeal.
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for the content of the properties.</li> </ul>	eration and/or search (see NOT	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	esponding number of finally rejec	cted claims.	
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		npilant Amendment (F	10L-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowal non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	ble if submitted in a separate, ti	mely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but bef because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suf was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ficient reasons why the affidavit	or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal I was not earlier presented.  Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of t REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe Applicant's arguments have been considered, but do not ove argument concerning what is meant by "sewing thread" do not over a state of the control of th	rcome the rejection as currently	stands. Specifically,	Applicant's
could be be satisfied by the prior art.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTC	0/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	· · ———		
	/Shaun R Hurley/ Primary Examiner, Art Ur	nit 3765	